



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,034	09/03/2003	SU TAO	10231-US-PA	2033
31561	7590	03/08/2005	EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100 TAIWAN				HA, NATHAN W
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		2814		
DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/605,034	TAO ET AL.
	Examiner Nathan W. Ha	Art Unit 2814

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 November 2004.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,3-9,11-16 and 18 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,3-9,11-16 and 18 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
- Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Cancellation of the claims 2, 10, and 17 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zhao et al. (US 2003/0179556, newly cited, hereinafter, Zhao.)

In regard to claims 1 and 9, in figs. 1b-4 and 18B, Zhao discloses a chip package structure process, comprising:

providing a matrix substrate 104;

disposing a plurality of chips 102 (semiconductor chip, die) on the matrix substrate and the chips are electrically connected to the matrix substrate through wires 306, for example;

disposing a stiffener 112 on the matrix substrate, wherein the stiffener includes an outer surface and an opposite inner surface and the inner surface of the stiffener

faces the matrix substrate, and wherein the stiffener has a plurality of openings, fig. 20i, step 2032, and the chips are completely exposed by the openings; providing a molding compound 116 to cover the chips, the matrix substrate, the outer surface and the inner surface of the stiffener, fig. 1B; and dicing the molding compound, the matrix substrate and the stiffener to form a plurality of chip package structures. See fig. 21F, step 2156.

In regard to claims 3 and 11, wherein the inner surface of the stiffener faces the chips. See fig. 5.

In regard to claim 5, Zhao further discloses wherein a plurality of solder balls 106 are formed on the matrix substrate after dicing the molding compound, the matrix substrate and the stiffener. See fig. 4.

In regard to claims 7 and 12, Zhao further discloses that wherein the chips are attached to the matrix substrate through an adhesive in the step of disposing the plurality of chips and a plurality of wires 108 are formed by wire-bonding to electrically connect the chips and the matrix substrate.

In regard to claims 8 and 13, wherein a material of the stiffener is copper. See [0010].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhao as applied to claims 1-3, 5, and 7-13 above, and further in view of Wang et al., US 5,977,626, hereinafter, Wang, previously cited.

In regard to claims 4, 20 and 22, Zhaoa discloses all of the claimed limitations as mentioned above except the stiffener being attached to the substrate through an adhesive layer.

Wang, in fig. 2, discloses an analogous semiconductor package including a substrate 20, a chip 22 disposed on the substrate electrically through wiring 26, and a stiffener, heat sink, that is attached to the substrate using adhesive 34. The heat sink further includes a surrounding sidewall, and a flange portion that extends outwardly from the sidewall (as cited in claim 22) at the bottom where the heat sink and the substrate are in contact. This structure provides support and secure the heat sink to the substrate to prevent the heat sink from moving during process of encapsulating the package.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to prolong the heat sink and secure it to the substrate by adhesive in order to provide support and secure the heat sink to the substrate to preventing the heat sink from moving during process of encapsulating the package. It is further noted that adhesive is used to prevent the moisture at the gap between the substrate and the heat sink.

In regard to claim 21, please see above discussions regarding to claim 2.

In regard to claim 23, please the above discussions regarding to claim 8, wherein the heat sink is made out of copper.

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhao as applied to claims 1-3, 5, and 7-13 above.

In regard to claim 6, the above discussions of one embodiment in Nakayama discloses all of the claimed limitations as cited in the instant claim 1. However, this embodiment does not disclose that wherein a plurality of solder balls are formed on the substrate before the dicing step. Nakayama, however, further discloses another embodiment, fig. 4, which discloses the solder balls are formed on the matrix substrate before dicing the molding compound. This process allows all the external connections, for example, 52 and 16, be formed in one step, therefore, excellent productivity is achieved. See [0084].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply the reverse steps as taught by an embodiment, fig.4, to perform the dicing at the end of the process in order to form all of the external connections in one single step since it is common to dice the wafer at the end. Furthermore, the process of applying the solder balls to the back of the whole wafer before the dicing can be carried out quicker, instead of each chip individually (after the dicing).

6. Claims 14-6 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhao, above cited reference.

In regard to claim 14, Zhao discloses all of the claimed limitations as mentioned above except the thickness of the substrate.

At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the thickness of the substrate because applicant has not disclosed that this thickness provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well with either thickness because they perform the same function of positioning the module to the substrate.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nakayama to obtain the invention as specified in the above claim.

Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are *prima facie* obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, *In re Rose*, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); *In re Rinehart*, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); *Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.*, 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed dimensions of any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

In regard to claim 15, see the above discussions regarding to claim 1, wherein the stiffener is covered in the molding compound.

In regard to claim 16, see the above discussions regarding to claim 3, wherein the inner surface of the stiffener faces the chip.

In regard to claim 18, Nakayama further discloses a plurality of wires 24 and the chip disposed on the substrate is electrically connected to the substrate through the wires. See fig. 20 and section [0074].

In regard to claim 19, see the above discussions regarding to claim 13, wherein the stiffener is made of copper.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 9, and 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan W. Ha whose telephone number is (571) 272-1707. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:00-7:00(EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nathan Ha
March 2, 2005



HOAI PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER